Procedure International Journal of Science and Technology
(International Open Access, Peer-reviewed & Refereed Journal)
(Multidisciplinary, Monthly, Multilanguage)
ISSN : 2584-2617 (Online)
Volume- 2, Issue- 2, February 2025
Website- www.pijst.com DOI- https://doi.org/10.62796/pijst.2025

Theoretical Insights on the Role of Biodiversity in Ecosystem Stability: An Ecological Perspective

O.N. Nwogbaga

Deparment of Environmental Science, Alex Ekwueme Federal University Ndufu Alike, Ebonyi State, Nigeria

Abstract

Biodiversity plays a critical role in maintaining the structural and functional stability of ecosystems. It encompasses genetic, species, and ecosystem diversity, each contributing uniquely to ecological resilience and long-term sustainability. Theoretical perspectives such as the portfolio effect and species asynchrony effect illustrate how biodiversity buffers ecosystems against environmental fluctuations by reducing dependence on single species and promoting asynchronous population dynamics. Empirical studies demonstrate that species-rich communities exhibit greater resistance, resilience, and recovery from disturbances, thereby enhancing stability. Mechanisms underlying this relationship include species interactions, nutrient cycling, and ecological resilience, which collectively ensure energy flow, material cycling, and adaptability to disturbances. However, biodiversity loss—driven by habitat destruction, fragmentation, invasive species, and climate change—threatens ecosystem integrity, diminishing ecosystem services essential for human well-being. Conservation strategies such as protected areas, restoration ecology, and sustainable practices mitigate biodiversity decline while supporting ecological stability. Policy frameworks, including the Convention on Biological Diversity and national legislations, provide international and regional approaches to biodiversity management. Emerging research emphasizes multi-trophic perspectives, large-scale datasets, and technological advances to strengthen ecological theory and conservation practice. Thus, biodiversity remains indispensable for ecosystem stability, resilience, and sustainable human futures.

Keywords: Biodiversity, Ecosystem Stability, Species Interactions, Nutrient Cycling, Resilience, Conservation, Policy, Ecological Perspective.

Introduction

Biodiversity encompasses the variety and variability of life forms and the ecological complexes in which they occur. It spans various organizational levels, including genes, species, communities, and ecosystems (Pennekamp et al., 2018). Studying biodiversity involves identification and classification of organisms, and quantification of their relative abundances at each organizational level. Biodiversity is commonly examined as genetic, species, and ecosystem diversity. Conservation biology considers biodiversity as a global phenomenon, revealing patterns of regional and global species diversities (Chang et al., 2019). The most biologically diverse continental regions with high species endemism are designated as biodiversity hotspots. Ecosystem stability denotes the tendency of ecosystems to maintain their structural and functional characteristics over time in the face of external perturbations. Factors influencing ecosystem stability include disturbance regimes, species composition, interaction strengths, resource availability, habitat heterogeneity, climate variability, and human-induced changes. Ecologists have devoted considerable efforts to explore the linkages between biodiversity and ecosystem stability. Two prominent theoretical frameworks that explicate this relationship are the statistical averaging effect and the species asynchrony effect. The former considers the portfolio of species responding independently to environmental fluctuations, and the latter emphasizes negative interspecific correlations in population dynamics. Empirical studies consistently demonstrate that increased biodiversity confers enhanced ecosystem resistance, recovery, and resilience. The stabilizing influence of biodiversity on ecosystems emerges from complementary and interacting mechanisms of species contributions. Mechanistically, biodiversity supports essential ecosystem functions through complex interdependencies among organisms, contributing to the overall stability of ecosystems.

Understanding Biodiversity

Biodiversity characterizes the variety and variability of lifeforms on Earth (Pennekamp et al., 2018). It comprises three components: genetic diversity, species diversity, and ecosystem diversity. Measuring biodiversity addresses five key questions: the total number of species globally, the number of regional or local species, the similarity of species assemblages between sites, the most dominant species in a given location, and the most exotic species within an area. Variation in species numbers across similarly sized areas delineates a species-richness gradient; sites exceeding an 80th percentile benchmark represent biodiversity hotspots.

Biodiversity encompasses the variety and variability of living organisms and the ecological complexes that include them. It embodies the full range of ecosystems on Earth and species within them, providing the foundation for ecosystem services that sustain human life. Ecosystem biodiversity refers to the diversity of different habitats in a given region. Species biodiversity denotes the variety and abundance of different types of organisms within a region, while genetic biodiversity pertains to the diversity of genetic traits among individuals within a single species. Quantifying biodiversity presents significant challenges, but understanding its multifaceted nature is crucial for assessing its role in ecosystem stability. Considering the critical link between biodiversity and ecosystem stability further underscores the importance of preserving biodiversity hotspots.

Measuring biodiversity is crucial for linking it to ecosystem stability. Biodiversity encompasses the variety and abundance of living organisms, including genes, species, and ecosystems, and is commonly expressed through alpha, beta, and gamma diversity. Measurement approaches range from direct species counts to incorporating evolutionary historiesphylogenetic and functional diversityand are informed by survey data, museum records, and remote sensing. Identifying global biodiversity hotspots aids in large-scale prioritization and conservation strategies.

Biodiversity hotspots characterized by exceptionally high species endemism and significant habitat loss have been identified at the global and national scales. Since the early 1950s, identifying spatial patterns in the distribution of biological diversity has been a central focus; however, multiple metrics quantifying biodiversity exist, yielding variable global mappings. An approach that accounts for variables beyond species richness, extinction risk, and protected area coverage allows identification of cohesive countries and territories for more effective conservation prioritization.

Stable ecosystems are fundamental to the persistence of life on Earth. Space-time autocorrelation imposes a general limit on the stability of complex networks, suggesting that ecosystem destabilization at the largest scales may be irreversible. Numerous processes influence ecosystem stability, encompassing physical and biotic factors that play a crucial role in maintaining a steady state (Pennekamp et al., 2018). Ecosystem stability can be defined through a variety of components that include temporal stability, resistance, resilience, and persistence (Pennekamp et al., 2018). From a global perspective, multiple large-scale factors such as temperature variability, anthropogenic disturbances, and landscape characteristics interact to influence the stability of terrestrial ecosystems.

The Interrelationship Between Biodiversity and Ecosystem Stability

The relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem stability has been examined through various theoretical frameworks. The theoretical basis for the idea that biodiversity increases ecosystem stability originates at the turn of the twentieth century, exemplified by work showing that diverse communities are less dependent on the population dynamics of a single species than are simple systems. Theoretical demonstrations suggest that, although complex systems can possess multiple attractors, they often exhibit fewer attractors than simpler systems. These studies imply that diverse systems may remain within desirable attractors over a wide range of environmental conditions. Theoretical arguments indicate that diverse communities may possess hyperbolic or complex attractors, which are less sensitive to parameter changes than predictable or chaotic attractors, thus buffering system responses to abiotic fluctuations.

The interplay between species interactions and biodiversity has long been proposed as a mechanism governing community and ecosystem stability. The majority of theories have emphasized the role of community stability (sensu May 1973) without explicitly incorporating ecosystem functions or a clear link between community processes and system functioning. These theories have contributed to the hypothesis that complex communities are less stable than simple communities, although empirical support remains lacking. Alternatively, biodiversity–ecosystem theories combining a community module with ecosystem-level functions suggest that as biodiversity increases, stability may initially decrease due to intensified interspecific interactions, but beyond a certain threshold, additional species create functional groups that enhance stability. Empirical evidence supports the view that complex ecosystems are stable, indicating that diversity affects the stability of ecosystem functions rather than merely community stability (Pennekamp et al., 2018).

The mechanisms through which biodiversity influences ecosystem stability have been the subject of theoretical scrutiny in ecology. Some frameworks emphasize statistical averaging and asynchronous population dynamics, invoked as portfolio effects that enhance stability within fluctuating communities. These perspectives, however, often treat biodiversity as a fixed parameter, thereby neglecting its potential interactions with other system components. Alternatively, mechanistic models incorporate nutrient-diversity feedback, capturing how varying species richness in primary producers affects nutrient uptake and grazing pressures. Phytoplankton diversity influences nutrient consumption and biomass, setting in motion feedback loops that modulate ecosystem variables. While nutrient-diversity feedback can introduce additional variability and potentially destabilize community biomass, higher species richness concurrently bolsters system robustness. Diverse assemblages enhance resource consumption during population declines and mitigate excessive growth that might otherwise amplify fluctuations. This combination of effects constitutes a novel stabilizing influence mediated by biodiversity that operates independently of traditional portfolio mechanisms (Chang et al., 2019).

Mechanisms of Biodiversity in Ecosystem Functioning

Biodiversity encompasses the variety and variability of living organisms, spanning genes, species, ecosystems, and ecological processes. Measurement approaches include species richness, the Berger–Parker index, and comprehensive analyses leading to the identification of global biodiversity hotspots. This inherent variety imparts resilience by supplying a broad pool of genetic resources, enabling species to adapt to environmental changes and disturbances such as disease outbreaks. Ecosystem stability refers to an ecosystem's capacity for self-repair, multi-level resistance, and enduring maintenance of materials and energy cycling post-disturbance. Factors influencing this stability comprise climate regulation, carbon and oxygen cycles, hazardous substance mitigation, and overall resistance to environmental fluctuations (Eisenhauer & Schädler, 2011). Empirical evidence supports that a greater number of species enhances ecosystem stability (Pennekamp et al., 2018). Sustained stability amidst increasing population densities necessitates an escalating number of species. Various mechanisms underscore this relationship: Species interactions underpin the understanding of ecological communities and remain a central theme in ecology (Mougi, 2016). A growing body of evidence supports the stabilizing effect of interspecific interactions on ecological communities (Pennekamp et al., 2018). The link between biodiversity, species interactions, and ecosystem stability lies at the heart of ecology's most fundamental questions.

Biodiversity enhances nutrient cycling via the activities of species belonging to different functional groups and modulates the kinetic and stoichiometric properties of species involved in the cycling of nutrients. Nutrient-diversity feedbacks exert a stabilizing influence on ecosystems that is distinct from portfolio effects. Mechanistic models illustrate how phytoplankton diversity governs ecosystem stability by affecting nutrient uptake and grazing, while feedbacks from nutrient levels to species richness further regulate community dynamics (Chang et al., 2019). Diverse ecosystems sustain the cycling of essential elements such as carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus more effectively than less-diverse systems. They modulate the rate of nutrient cycling so that declines in ecosystem functioning are slower in species-rich environments. Changes in species composition attributable to diversity loss can influence the kinetic and stoichiometric attributes of species participating in nutrient turnover.

Ecosystems possess a well-known potential to recover their original structure and role whenever they are disturbed, a phenomenon often termed "ecological resilience". Resilience complements elements such as robustness, vigilance, or adaptability when defining ecosystem stability. Biodiversity is also a primary driver of resilience (Pennekamp et al., 2018) , with greater diversity typically corresponding to higher resilience, as observed in over a hundred mechanistic models. The presence of redundant species buffers against secondary extinctions and allows systems to maintain function until species can recover or reappear. Efficient nutrient cycling—a key function of biodiversity-enhanced ecosystems—increases the availability of essential resources. Ecosystems with greater biodiversity are therefore more resilient and better able to recover their original state after disturbance.

Biodiversity Loss and Its Implications

Biodiversity loss threatens ecosystem integrity and function. Species extinctions, habitat destruction, and overexploitation disrupt ecosystem processes and destabilize community interactions. Declining species diversity can reduce resilience to climate extremes and compromise ecosystem services. Although flooding may temporarily increase resource availability, it has the potential to diminish stability in diverse communities. Understanding interplay between community resistance, species synchrony, and ecosystem recovery is therefore central to conservation. Maintaining biodiversity is a widely proposed solution for promoting stability and mitigating the risk of catastrophic shifts (Pennekamp et al., 2018). Biodiversity has experienced a progressive decline in recent decades as a result of increasing habitat destruction, habitat deterioration, habitat fragmentation, habitat isolation, habitat disruption, climate change, and invasive, alien, and exotic species (Pennekamp et al., 2018). These processes constitute the primary causes of biodiversity loss.

The omnipresence of biodiversity in natural ecosystems invites an examination of how the loss of biological variety may influence ecosystem function, thereby establishing grounds for general theories on the interplay between biodiversity and ecosystem stability. Ecological theories developed over the late twentieth and early twenty-first centuries frequently predict a positive association between biodiversity and ecosystem stability, anticipating that ecosystems with greater numbers and varieties of species will prove more resistant and resilient to environmental change (Pennekamp et al., 2018). Empirical investigations corroborate that diverse assemblages of plants, phytoplankton, and the like tend to exhibit enhanced ecosystem functioning and stability. Subsequently, biodiversity is now often considered a critical and keystone factor underpinning stable ecosystem operating conditions.

Conservation Strategies

Conservation efforts constitute a principal avenue for diminishing threats to biodiversity and ecosystem functionality, thereby elucidating operational pathways for mitigating risks of diminished ecosystem stability through the maintenance or augmentation of biodiversity (Pennekamp et al., 2018). The global extensive establishment of protected areas—terrestrial and marine—represents a foremost conservation strategy that promotes ecosystem stability via biodiversity conservation. Ecological Restoration Theory involves programmed activities aimed at the recovery of disturbed, damaged, or destroyed ecosystems, typically by restoring structural elements, biotic components, and natural environmental processes to a prior intact or less-damaged state. Compatibility with economic, efficiency, and measurable goals constitutes additional desirable properties of restoration programs with practical considerations for implementation. Simultaneously, such activities influence benefits related to resource development and use. Environmental Conservation Theory applies to the protection, preservation, management, and restoration of natural environments and the ecological communities they support. It emphasizes the necessity to maintain and adhere to current principles in order to support larger strategic objectives. Interdisciplinary approaches in science improve efficiency and effective realization of these objectives. Conservation Science develops the knowledge base required to address ecosystem problems and is indispensable for the advancement of conservation policy and practice. The preservation of ecosystem services emerges as a critical public policy goal despite limited theoretical and empirical support concerning the services provided by complex ecosystems. Conservation programmes that integrate ecosystem services and natural capital exhibit superior outcomes relative to purely species-focused initiatives. Conservation Materials reduce adverse environmental effects arising from materials science and engineering, promoting sustainable practices and supporting the overarching goals of biodiversity conservation and ecosystem sustainability.

The continued decline in natural habitats and increasing extinction rates have highlighted the need for extensive, well-connected protected areas to ensure the persistence of ecological communities (S. Cumming & R. Allen, 2017). Conservation areas could, therefore, serve as a core strategy for reducing biodiversity loss and maintaining ecosystem stability. The relative contribution of privately owned reserves to future biodiversity persistence is poorly understood, despite their increasing extent. Protected areas must themselves be resilient social–ecological systems built on the principle of ecological solidarity that incorporates a plurality of knowledge systems. Ecosystems should, therefore, be managed for resilience through approaches such as science, social learning and adaptive governance to ensure that they contribute positively to biodiversity management. Few ecosystems remain unaffected by human activity, yet restoring them is imperative to counteract biodiversity loss and uphold ecosystem services. A comprehensive meta-analysis of over 400 studies examining recovery from major disturbances—including catastrophic events (oil spills and nuclear disasters), agricultural land abandonment, logging, and mining—reveals that ecosystems often achieve only partial recovery. Recovery trajectories decelerate over time, with the final stages posing the greatest challenges. Notably, active restoration efforts do not significantly accelerate recovery rates or enhance final completeness compared to merely ceasing disturbances without additional interventions. Moreover, the supplementary benefits conferred by restoration remain uncertain, primarily due to a paucity of comparative investigations (P. Jones et al., 2018).

Future Directions in Research

Research on ecosystem stability is a particularly active area in contemporary ecological investigation. Studies have focused on the stability of populations, assemblages and food webs, or on the stability of various eco-system functions and services (Magrach & Montoya, 2024). However, the majority of investigations are restricted to single trophic levels, and theLiterature gaps interaction of ecological stability among species across multiple trophic levels continues to be considerably less explored. Research on the stability of plant–pollinator communities has contributed to filling this gap.

Theory and models constructed to probe the effects of synchrony/invariability have been exploited to produce a quantitative characterization of variability. This characterization has enabled a direct linkage between theory and empirical data and has also pinned down the key factors responsible for the pattern of variability. The large body of theoretical knowledge built over the last years has thus taken the ground of reality and enabled a confrontation between theoretical predictions and real-world data

The degree of stability at the species level has been studied through temporal invariability of annual plant reproductive success over a quarter century for a set of bee-pollinated plant species. The resulting values of temporal variance and synchrony were examined to provide a detailed view of the pattern of temporal invariability in plant reproductive success. Values of invariability decrease at increasing organizational levels following a trend previously observed in plant and animal communities and theoretical predictions. Declining invariability with increasing organization leads to a paradoxical situation where spatial and organizational asynchrony drive a contrasting dynamical behaviour through which the functioning of plant–pollinator communities is ultimately stabilized. As population-level invariability decreases, the viability of pollinator populations may be compromised and plant–pollinator networks could ultimately collapse. The accumulation of empirical knowledge strongly points to an extraordinary advance in ecological stability. Research efforts directly addressing more encompassing aspects of stability—namely across trophic levels—and using a broad variety of methods need to be undertaken. Collection and analysis of multi-year data at different spatial scales offer the potential to unveil the dynamics of communities at different organizational and spatial levels. Given the variety and complexity of plant–pollinator communities—and, ultimately, nature—much work remains before having a complete understanding of ecological stability in a biodiversity and ecosystem perspective.

Literature addressing the interactions between biodiversity and ecosystem stability are immeasurable, yet emerging trends recorded in the last decade can be distinguished. These trends expand with the availability of species-specific data, and with the growing computational prowess available to ecologists. Three in particular delineate the principal trajectories of ongoing research: increased effort devoted to the conceptualisation of stability, the propagation of large empirical datasets to test emerging theory, and the application of perturbations to elucidate mechanisms (Pennekamp et al., 2018). The first addresses how rich assemblages persist longer than species-poor systems: the very mechanics of stability. The second describes whether diversity is always stabilising, and reveals experimentally that the answer is no, but that the observed effects nonetheless depend upon the timescales considered. The third draws attention to how functionally diverse systems buffer the consequences of disturbance, and promotes theoretical investigations into the implications of alternate still-untested perturbations.

The evolutionary progression of analytical methods for quantifying biodiversity has been extraordinary, surpassing other ecological disciplines. Historically, indicators such as species richness and abundance provided fundamental insights. Contemporary geospatial software platforms integrate diverse biodiversity indices to visualize spatial patterns clearly. Although an unparalleled variety of biodiversity metrics exists, biologists primarily employ indices that quantify distinct properties. Recent advancements enable cost-effective collection of replicable data sets to delineate biodiversity changes over time and space. Biodiversity conservation has emerged as a paramount global concern. Biodiversity loss presents the most severe threat to ecosystem functions and consequently, human health (Pennekamp et al., 2018). Despite curtailing major threats to wild nature, biodiversity, as of 2014, remains in alarming decline worldwide; its deterioration has been accelerating throughout the 20th century, yielding profound social and economic consequences. Rapid global changes affecting climate, land area, biogeochemical cycles, and biodiversity, in conjunction with burgeoning human populations, jeopardize the provision of ecosystem services essential to human well-being and counteract poverty eradication efforts. Generating policy-relevant knowledge across various spatial and temporal scales is crucial for safeguarding ecosystems and humanity. Technological advances will unlock new opportunities and provide new tools already outlined in the introductory sections. Early studies examining the biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationship focused on resource supply and consumption, enhancing for example, productivity or decomposition. However, natural ecosystems are more complex; species influences on each other and on ecosystem properties vary through space and time, often nonlinearly, and involve multiple processes. Recycling minerals within the ecosystem represents one such process.

Public Awareness and Education

Public awareness and education play a crucial role in biodiversity conservation and, consequently, ecosystem stability. Education fosters public involvement and understanding of conservation issues, which is essential when local community activities contribute to habitat degradation, pollution, and the over-exploitation of natural resources. An effective biodiversity education strategy requires a program suitable for all demographics because conservation is a shared responsibility. Biodiversity is a fundamental element of life and the economy. Nevertheless, it is not widely understood why it is valuable or worth conserving; thus, an education program that explains the variety of living things is essential to increase public support and action. An informed public is less prone to resist conservation efforts, and a greater understanding of essence and functioning can expand equity: biodiversity is a subject of learning beyond schools. A strategy to increase awareness on biodiversity uses different approaches to communication and the media, including seminars, educational materials, and audiovisuals. The aim is to develop, through education and communication, public-literate decision making and increased support for biodiversity conservation in general (Pennekamp et al., 2018).

Loss of biodiversity constitutes the most widespread threat to the stability of ecosystems. Conservation actions include protected areas, restoration ecology, sustainable forest management and the establishment of State Policies and International Agreements such as the Convention on Biological Diversity. Education may also be a tool for the transformative change needed to protect biodiversity. Environmental education (EE) has been used as a conservation strategy to enhance human–environment relationships and promote pro-environmental attitudes, values, awareness, knowledge, and skills necessary to address environmental problems and achieve conservation success (BriasGuinart et al., 2022). Biodiversity conservation frequently necessitates the participation of local individuals. Consequently, it is important to assess the extent of community involvement in the planning of conservation-related projects. Many conservation plans operate on the assumption that community members will automatically support biodiversity projects; however, this is not invariably true, as they have myriad other concerns and interests. Rather than generalized assessments of community involvement, it is therefore more beneficial to appraise the level of attendance at meetings, awareness and understanding of projects planned, willingness to help or support projects and the degree of cooperation during the implementation of projects. Gathering this data is not a trivial task and a variety of methods have been adopted—ranging from informal interviews, exoticated surveys, formal questionnaires and structured interviews to sophisticated sociometric analysis—many of which demand a deep understanding of social science research.

Community participation can occur at a number of stages of the conservation process, from the initial planning to the actual implementation of projects. There are numerous ways in which community members can assist. Besides the obvious prospect of granting conservation projects access to land or resources, individuals can help by providing transport, harbouring workers, purchasing products at shops and markets, joining patrols and undertaking health initiatives of animal populations. The monetary equivalent of the value of all these activities can be quite substantial. Thus, to be successful in the long term, conservation projects should not only liaise with the communities but also find ways of fully integrating them into the activities being undertaken.

Conclusion

Biodiversity constitutes the foundation for ecosystem stability, interlinking cumulative ecological functions with essential societal services. While maintaining an extensive macroecological perspective, future biodiversity studies must explicitly address the conservation of biodiversity components that underpin those functions. Biodiversity ensures the maintenance of biogeochemical systems across global, regional, landscape, and local scales, guaranteeing the resilience and capacity of ecosystems to sustain human needs (Chang et al., 2019). According to the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, biodiversity provides humans with the necessary eco-services for health, economic, spiritual, recreational, aesthetic, physical, educational, and cultural requirements. These eco-services, delivered by biological communities and ecosystems, support many economic activities. As ecosystems degrade, natural habitats fragment, and fishery stocks diminish, the number and quality of eco-services, including human well-being, decline drastically. The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment mandated the creation of policies, programs, and projects at various levels to reverse the negative trend associated with biodiversity decline and to restore, sustain, manage, and ensure the equitable use and provision of goods and services, primarily eco-services. Biodiversity underpins ecosystem stability by regulating and supporting eco-system goods and services that help maintain ecosystem morale, internal complex structure, and ecological constitution (Pennekamp et al., 2018). Moreover, the loss of biodiversity-related goods and services constitutes a significant threat to humanity and may ultimately jeopardize its existence on Earth if the current trophic structure of Earth is allowed to collapse permanently as predicted by Hidalgo et al.

Despite the indisputable importance of biodiversity in ecosystem science, little consensus exists on whether the two are interrelated. Elucidation requires an integrated understanding from various perspectives; therefore, this work advances current knowledge by reviewing biodiversity, ecosystem stability, and the interrelationship between the two from an ecological viewpoint. Biodiversity denotes the variability of life on Earth at different levels of biological organization, which can be measured following various methods, while ecosystem stability refers to an ecosystem's capacity to maintain the status quo amid external or internal disturbances, which is significantly influenced by seven factors.

Author's Declaration:

The views and contents expressed in this research article are solely those of the author(s). The publisher, editors, and reviewers shall not be held responsible for any errors, ethical misconduct, copyright infringement, defamation, or any legal consequences arising from the content. All legal and moral responsibilities lie solely with the author(s).

References:

1. Pennekamp, F., Pontarp, M., Tabi, A., Altermatt, F., Alther, R., Choffat, Y., A Fronhofer, E., Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Garnier, A., I Griffiths, J., Greene, S., Horgan, K., M Massie, T., Mächler, E., Marco Palamara, G., Seymour, M., & L Petchey, O. (2018). Biodiversity increases and decreases ecosystem stability. https://www.zora.uzh.ch/id/eprint/164959/1/BEEP_OES_ms_postprint_11-12-18.pdf
2. Chang, C. W., Hsieh, C., & Miki, T. (2019). Ecosystem-level stabilizing effects of biodiversity via nutrient-diversity feedbacks in multitrophic systems. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1909.05577
3. Eisenhauer, N. & Schädler, M. (2011). Inconsistent impacts of decomposer diversity on the stability of aboveground and belowground ecosystem functions. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
4. Mougi, A. (2016). Stability of an adaptive hybrid community. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
5. S. Cumming, G. & R. Allen, C. (2017). Protected areas as social-ecological systems: perspectives from resilience and social-ecological systems theory. https://core.ac.uk/download/127448682.pdf
6. P. Jones, H., C. Jones, P., B. Barbier, E., C. Blackburn, R., M. Rey Benayas, J., D.

Holl, K., McCrackin, M., Meli, P., Montoya, D., & Moreno Mateos, D. (2018). Restoration and repair of Earth's damaged ecosystems. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

7. Magrach, A. & Montoya, D. (2024). Stability in plant–pollinator communities across organizational levels: present, gaps, and future. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
8. BriasGuinart, A., KorhonenKurki, K., & Cabeza, M. (2022). Typifying conservation practitioners' views on the role of education. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Cite this Article-

"O.N. Nwogbaga", "Theoretical Insights on the Role of Biodiversity in Ecosystem Stability: An Ecological Perspective", Procedure International Journal of Science and Technology (PIJST), ISSN: 2584-2617 (Online), Volume:2, Issue:2, February 2025.

Journal URL- https://www.pijst.com/

DOI- https://doi.org/10.62796/pijst.2025

Published Date- 02/02/2025